

ON LINEAR SPACES OF SKEW-SYMMETRIC MATRICES OF CONSTANT RANK

L. MANIVEL, E. MEZZETTI

1. INTRODUCTION

Linear sections of the Grassmannians $\mathbb{G}(1, n)$ of lines in $\mathbb{P}^n$ appear naturally in several different situations. In complex projective algebraic geometry, 3-dimensional linear sections of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$ appear in the classification of Fano threefolds, 2-dimensional linear sections of $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$ define one of the smooth scrolls of $\mathbb{P}^5$.

Linear sections of dimension $n - 1$ of the Grassmannian of lines of $\mathbb{P}^n$ are classically called *linear congruences*. Recently Agafonov and Ferapontov have introduced a construction establishing an important connection between certain hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, called of Temple class, and congruences of lines ([AF96], [AF01]). In particular they have proved that linear congruences in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathbb{P}^4$ up to projective equivalence correspond bijectively to Temple systems in 2 and 3 variables up to reciprocal transformations.

The classification of the linear congruences in $\mathbb{P}^3$ has been done classically by Kummer ([Kum66]) (he classified more generally congruences of order 1, his classification has been completed by Ran [Ran86]), in $\mathbb{P}^4$ the classification was made by Castelnuovo ([C91]). In higher dimension, the classification is still missing.

A linear congruence B in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is of the form $B = \mathbb{G}(1, 5) \cap \Delta$, where Δ is a linear space of dimension 10. In the Plücker embedding of $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{14}$ a line $\ell \in B$ is represented by a skew-symmetric 6×6 matrix $(p_{ij})_{i,j=0,\dots,5}$ of rank two. Δ is the intersection of four hyperplanes, whose equations can be written in the form

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^5 a_{ij} p_{ij} = 0.$$

The four hyperplanes are points of the dual space $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$, they generate the 3-space $\check{\Delta}$.

The dual variety of the Grassmannian parametrizes the tangent hyperplanes to $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. It is the cubic hypersurface $\check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5)$ in $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ defined by the Pfaffian of the skew-symmetric matrix (a_{ij}) , and parametrizing matrices of rank at most four. Hence the intersection $\check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5) \cap \check{\Delta}$ is, in general, a cubic surface S .

The rational Gauss map $\gamma : \check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}(1, 5)$ associates to a tangent hyperplane its unique tangency point. γ is regular outside the singular locus of $\check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5)$, which is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}(3, 5)$ and is formed by the hyperplanes whose associated matrix (a_{ij}) has rank 2. The fibre of γ

over a line ℓ is a linear space of dimension 5, naturally identified with the linear system of hyperplanes containing the tangent space to $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$ at the point ℓ . Its intersection with $\mathbb{G}(3, 5)$ is a smooth 4-dimensional quadric, representing the 3-spaces containing ℓ .

For general Δ , S does not intersect $\mathbb{G}(3, 5)$ and the image $\gamma(S)$ is a 2-dimensional family of lines, whose union is a smooth 3-fold X of degree 7, called Palatini scroll. It results that the lines of the congruence B are the 4-secant lines of X and X is the fundamental locus of B ([FM02]).

Classifying linear congruences in $\mathbb{P}^5$ amounts to describing all special positions of the 3-space $\check{\Delta}$ with respect to $\check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5)$ and to its singular locus.

An interesting case arises when the cubic surface S (not intersecting $\mathbb{G}(3, 5)$) has a plane as irreducible component. Such a plane can be interpreted naturally as a linear system of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank 4, of (projective) dimension 2.

The problem of the classification of linear systems of matrices has been considered in several different contexts. It is a classical problem in linear algebra to determine the maximal dimension of a space of matrices (possibly symmetric or skew-symmetric) of constant rank; upper and lower bounds are known but the exact answer seems to be unknown in general (see for instance [IL99] and references therein). A connected interesting question would be to characterize the maximal spaces of matrices of constant rank.

The aim of this paper is to give a complete classification of the linear systems of 6×6 matrices of constant rank 4, or, in geometrical terms, of linear subspaces of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{14}$ contained in $\check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5)$ and not intersecting its singular locus, up to the action of the projective linear group PGL_6 .

If Δ is such a subspace, the restriction of γ to Δ is regular and defined by homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 (the derivatives of the Pfaffian). It must be injective (its fibers are linear spaces of zero dimension, since otherwise they would intersect the singular locus of $\check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5)$, which cuts every fiber of γ along a quadric hypersurface), hence a double Veronese embedding. The image $\gamma(\Delta)$ is therefore a Veronese variety embedded in $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. All double Veronese embeddings of linear spaces in Grassmannians of lines have been recently classified ([SU04]). These embeddings are given by vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ of rank 2 with $\det(\mathcal{E}) = 2$.

In the case of $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$ there are the following possibilities:

- (i) $\mathbb{P}^1$ can be embedded as $v_2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ or by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$, it represents the lines of a ruling of a smooth 2-dimensional quadric in the first case or the lines of a quadric cone in the second one,
- (ii) $\mathbb{P}^3$ can be embedded as $v_2(\mathbb{P}^3)$ only as the family of lines contained in a smooth 3-dimensional quadric, the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is the cokernel of a general map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$,
- (iii) $\mathbb{P}^2$ can be embedded as $v_2(\mathbb{P}^2)$ in 4 ways, via the following bundles: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$, the restriction of the bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of the previous case, or the Steiner bundle; the corresponding families of lines are respectively: the lines joining the corresponding points of 2 disjoint planes, the lines of a cone over a Veronese surface, the lines contained in a smooth 3-dimensional quadric and meeting a fixed line in it, or the secant lines of a skew cubic in a 3-space.

For each of the cases (i) and (iii) we show in §3 and 4 that there is a linear space Δ with $\gamma(\Delta)$ the corresponding Veronese variety. We show also that each case gives a unique orbit under the action of PGL_6 . In fact, our main result is that the space of projective planes of skew-symmetric matrices of order 6 of constant rank four, has four connected components, each of which is a PGL_6 -orbit of dimension 26. On the contrary, in the case (iii), we show that there are no 3-planes parametrizing matrices of constant rank four.

The methods of proof are mainly algebraic, in particular we reverse the point of view and interpret the spaces we are interested in as subspaces of $\mathbb{P}^{14}$ rather than its dual. This allows to interpret generators of these spaces as tensors of tensor rank two, and to give explicit bases for the vector spaces we consider. Note that with this point of view, the rational map γ is particularly simple: it maps a skew-symmetric tensor $\phi \in \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^6$ to $\phi \wedge \phi \in \wedge^4 \mathbb{C}^6 \simeq \wedge^2 (\mathbb{C}^6)^*$.

We shall always work over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.

2. PLANES OF SKEW-SYMMETRIC MATRICES OF ORDER 5

We begin with the case of skew-symmetric matrices of order 5. Those of rank two are parametrized by the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subset \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5 \simeq \mathbb{P}^9$. Since its dimension equals 6, a $\mathbb{P}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ will always meet $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$. The set of planes $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ meeting $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$ is an irreducible divisor in $\mathbb{G}(2, \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5)$, the Chow hypersurface of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$. The complement of this divisor is the space of projective planes of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank equal to four.

If we choose a basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 of $\mathbb{C}^5$, an example is given by the projective plane π_5 generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3, \\ e_1 \wedge e_5 + e_2 \wedge e_4, \\ e_2 \wedge e_5 + e_3 \wedge e_4. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1. *The PGL_5 -orbit of π_5 is an open subset of $\mathbb{G}(2, \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5)$, whose complement is exactly the Chow hypersurface of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$.*

Proof. A straightforward computation shows that the stabilizer of π_5 in PGL_5 has for Lie algebra the space

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2x & -2z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -y & x & z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3y & 0 & 3z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y & -x & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2y & -2x \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_5.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ has dimension three, the orbit of π_5 is open in $\mathbb{G}(2, \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5)$. Since $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ is reductive, by Matsushima's theorem ([Mat60]) the orbit of π_5 is affine, so its complement must be a divisor in $\mathbb{G}(2, \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5)$. This divisor contains the Chow hypersurface of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$, and we must check that it has no other irreducible component. For this we prove that any plane π not meeting $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$ has a PGL_5 -orbit of maximal dimension.

First observe that the map $\gamma : \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5 \rightarrow \wedge^4 \mathbb{C}^5 \simeq (\mathbb{C}^5)^*$, mapping ω to $\omega \wedge \omega$, must be injective on π , so that three general points will be mapped

to three hyperplanes intersecting along a projective line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Let P be a projective plane, skew to this line. Our plane π is contained in $\ell \wedge P \oplus \wedge^2 \ell$, and its intersection with the hyperplane $\ell \wedge P$ must be a line (otherwise it would meet $G(1, 4)$). Let e_1, e_2 be a basis of ℓ and e_3, e_4, e_5 a basis of P . We may suppose that π is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4, \\ e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_2 \wedge f, \\ e_1 \wedge e_5 + e_2 \wedge g, \end{aligned}$$

where $f = f_3 e_3 + f_4 e_4 + f_5 e_5$ belongs to P , and we may suppose that g equals e_3 or e_4 . (Note that the last two tensors generate the intersection of π with $\ell \wedge P$.) A computation shows that π has constant rank four if and only if $g = e_3$ and $f_4, f_5 \neq 0$, or $g = e_4$ and $f_3, f_4, f_5 \neq 0$. Up to a change of basis we may suppose in both cases that $f = e_4 + f_5 e_5$ with $f_5 \neq 0$. Then we compute explicitly that the Lie algebra of the stabilizer of π in PGL_5 has dimension 3, and we are done. $\square$

Remark. The appearance of this $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ can be explained as follows. Let U be a two dimensional vector space, and let us identify $\mathbb{C}^5$ with $S^4 U$. Then, as a $SL(U)$ -module,

$$\wedge^2(S^4 U) = S^6 U \oplus S^2 U,$$

and one can check that the projectivization of this copy of $S^2 U$, which has dimension three, parametrizes a plane of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank four. Of course the stabilizer of this plane will contain (and in fact be equal to) a copy of $SL(U)$.

3. LINES OF SKEW-SYMMETRIC MATRICES OF ORDER 6

We turn to skew-symmetric matrices of order 6. The orbit closures of PGL_6 in $\mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^6 \simeq \mathbb{P}^{14}$ are $\mathbb{G}(1, 5) \subset Pf \subset \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^6$, where Pf denotes the cubic Pfaffian hypersurface of matrices of rank at most four.

We are interested in projective lines of matrices of constant rank equal to four, that is, lines contained in Pf but that do not touch $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. Examples are given by the line ℓ_g generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3, \\ e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5, \end{aligned}$$

and the line ℓ_s generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3, \\ e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2. *A line ℓ of matrices of constant rank equal to four, is PGL_6 -equivalent either to ℓ_g or to ℓ_s .*

Proof. Choose two points ϕ, ϕ' generating ℓ . They define skew-symmetric endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^6$ whose images L, L' have dimension 4. They cannot be equal, since otherwise the line ℓ would be contained in $\mathbb{P} \wedge^2 L \simeq \mathbb{P}^5$ and would therefore necessarily meet the quadric hypersurface Q_L of rank two matrices. So the intersection $L \cap L'$ must have dimension 2 or 3.

Suppose the dimension is two, and let e_0, e_1 be a basis of $L \cap L'$. If $\phi \in \wedge^2 L$ does not belong to the tangent space of Q_L at $e_0 \wedge e_1$, we can find two other vectors e_2, e_3 in L such that $\phi = e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_2 \wedge e_3$ (up to scalar). Similarly, if $\phi' \in \wedge^2 L'$ does not belong to the tangent space of $Q_{L'}$ at $e_0 \wedge e_1$, we can find two other vectors e_4, e_5 in L' such that $\phi' = e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_4 \wedge e_5$. But then the line ℓ is not contained in the Pfaffian hypersurface. If ϕ' is contained in the tangent space of $Q_{L'}$ at $e_0 \wedge e_1$, we can find two other vectors e_4, e_5 in L' such that $\phi' = e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5$, and again the line ℓ is not contained in Pf . We conclude that ϕ and ϕ' both belong respectively to the tangent space of Q_L and $Q_{L'}$ at $e_0 \wedge e_1$, so that $\phi = e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3$ and $\phi' = e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5$ for a suitable basis $e_0, \dots, e_5$. Thus ℓ is PGL_6 -equivalent to ℓ_g .

Now suppose that the dimension is three. Choose vectors e_3 in $L - L'$ and e_4 in $L' - L$. We can write $\phi = \phi + e_1 \wedge e_3$ and $\phi' = \phi' + e_2 \wedge e_4$, with $e_1, e_2 \in L \cap L'$ and $\phi, \phi' \in \wedge^2(L \cap L')$. Note that e_1 and e_2 must be independent, since otherwise we can find a linear combination of ϕ and ϕ' of the form $e_1 \wedge f$, and the corresponding combination of ϕ and ϕ' would have rank two. Now choose a vector $e_0 \in L \cap L'$, not on the line joining e_1 to e_2 . Changing e_3 and e_4 if necessary, we can then write, up to scalar, $\phi = e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3$ and $\phi' = e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_2 \wedge e_4$. Thus ℓ is PGL_6 -equivalent to ℓ_s . $\square$

Lines equivalent to ℓ_s are contained in the linear span of a copy of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subset \mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. We call them *special lines*. Lines equivalent to ℓ_g , which we call *general lines*, are contained in a unique tangent space to $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. We call the corresponding line in $\mathbb{P}^5$ the *pivot* of the general line.

Computing the stabilizers of a general and of a special line, we check that:

Corollary 3. *The space of lines of matrices of rank four is irreducible of dimension 22, with an open PGL_6 -orbit of general lines, and a codimension one orbit of special lines.*

Remark. 1. If we adopt the dual point of view, we have that both types of lines have a conic as image via the Gauss map. A conic coming from a line ℓ equivalent to ℓ_s represents the lines of a quadric cone; its vertex P determines a subgrassmannian in $\mathbb{G}(3, 5)$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$, formed by the 3-spaces passing through P and ℓ is contained in its linear span. A conic coming from a line ℓ equivalent to ℓ_g represents instead a ruling of a smooth quadric Q . The pivot of ℓ can be interpreted, by duality, as the 3-space generated by Q .

2. Let us recall that the Pfaffian hypersurface Pf is the secant variety of the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. A rank two tensor ϕ of the form $v_0 \wedge v_1 + v_2 \wedge v_3$ can be interpreted as a point of the secant line of $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$ joining the points $[v_0 \wedge v_1]$ and $[v_2 \wedge v_3]$ of $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. The corresponding lines in $\mathbb{P}^5$ are skew, and span precisely the 3-space $\mathbb{P}(L)$. The pivot of ℓ is the intersection of these 3-spaces as ϕ varies in ℓ .

4. PLANES OF SKEW-SYMMETRIC MATRICES OF ORDER 6

We now consider projective planes of matrices of constant rank equal to four, that is, planes contained in Pf but not touching $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$.

Example 1. We can choose a hyperplane $H \simeq \mathbb{C}^5$ in $\mathbb{C}^6$. Then the plane $\pi_5 \subset \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^5 \subset \mathbb{P} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^6$ has constant rank four, and contains only special lines.

Conversely, the plane π_5 determines uniquely H , so that its PGL_6 -orbit $\mathcal{O}_5$ has dimension $21 + 5 = 26$.

In terms of skew-symmetric matrices, π_5 is the space of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & b & c & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & c & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -b & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we interpret π_5 as a plane in $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5)$, its image by the Gauss map γ is a projected Veronese surface. It is contained in a 4-space, i.e. the Schubert cycle of lines passing through a point P , and the lines corresponding to the points of $\gamma(\pi_5)$ generate a cone of vertex P over a Veronese surface. π_5 is contained in the inverse image, by the Gauss map, of that Schubert cycle, which is the linear span of the subgrassmannian of $\mathbb{G}(3, 5)$ parametrizing the 3-spaces passing through P .

Example 2. Another example is the plane π_g generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 \wedge e_4 - e_1 \wedge e_3, \\ e_0 \wedge e_5 - e_2 \wedge e_3, \\ e_1 \wedge e_5 - e_2 \wedge e_4. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of skew-symmetric matrices, π_g is the space of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -b & -c & 0 \\ 0 & a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This plane has the property that it contains only general lines. A more intrinsic way to describe it is to fix a decomposition $\mathbb{C}^6 = A \oplus B$ and an isomorphism $u : A \rightarrow B$. Then π_g is equivalent to the set of tensors of the form $x \wedge u(y) - y \wedge u(x)$, with $x, y \in A$. Its image by the Gauss map is the set of points of the form $x \wedge y \wedge u(x) \wedge u(y)$ in $\mathbb{P} \wedge^4 \mathbb{C}^6$. They describe the Veronese surface of lines joining the points of $A^\perp \simeq B^*$, to the corresponding points of $B^\perp \simeq A^*$, the correspondence being given by the transpose map u^t .

A computation shows that the stabilizer of π_g in GL_6 is the reductive group $(GL_3 \times \mathbb{C}^*) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, so that the orbit $\mathcal{O}_g$ of π_g has dimension $36 - 10 = 26$.

Example 3. Our next example is a plane π_t contained in a tangent space to $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$, generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3, \\ e_0 \wedge e_3 + e_1 \wedge e_4, \\ e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of skew-symmetric matrices, π_t is the space of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & b & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & -a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The special lines in that plane are parametrized by a smooth conic in the dual plane. More intrinsically, we can choose two different hyperplanes A and B in a supplement P of $\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$, and an identification $u : A \rightarrow B$ with no fixed line. Then π_t is equivalent to the plane of tensors of the form $e_0 \wedge x + e_1 \wedge u(x)$, with $x \in A$. Its image via the Gauss map is the set of points of the form $e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge x \wedge u(x)$.

Dually, the Gauss image of π_t is the Veronese variety, contained in the Schubert cycle of the lines of a 3-space $S \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, parametrizing the 2-secant lines of a skew cubic curve C contained in S . The inverse image via γ of that Schubert cycle is the tangent space to $\mathbb{G}(3, 5)$ (naturally identified with the singular locus of $\check{\mathbb{G}}(1, 5)$) at the point representing S . The special lines contained in π_t correspond to the cones over C with vertices at the points of C .

The Lie algebra of the stabilizer in GL_6 of our plane π_t , is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_6$ of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x+u & z & p & q & r & s \\ y & v+u & q & r & s & t \\ 0 & 0 & 3v & -y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3z & x+2v & -2y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2z & 2x+v & -3y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -z & 3x \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular the dimension of the stabilizer is 10, and the orbit $\mathcal{O}_t$ of π_t has dimension $36 - 10 = 26$. One can be slightly more precise: the stabilizer of π_t in GL_6 is a semi-direct product $(GL_2 \times \mathbb{G}_m) \rtimes U$, where $U \simeq S^4 V^* \otimes \det V$ as a $GL_2 = GL(V)$ -module, and the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on U through its tautological character.

Example 4. Finally, we have an example of a plane π_p containing only a pencil of special lines, generated by

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 \wedge e_3 + e_1 \wedge e_2, \\ e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3, \\ e_0 \wedge e_5 + e_1 \wedge e_3. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of skew-symmetric matrices, π_p is the space of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & a & c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ -a & -c & -b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The image of this plane, seen in the dual space, by the Gauss map is a Veronese surface of lines contained in a smooth 3-dimensional quadric and meeting a fixed line r in that quadric. Also this Veronese surface is contained in a 4-dimensional Schubert cycle, precisely in that of the lines of a hyperplane meeting a fixed line. The special lines in π_p correspond to the lines in the quadric passing through a fixed point of r .

We compute that the Lie algebra of the stabilizer in GL_6 of that plane π_p is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_6$ of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{00} & u_{10} & u_{20} & u_{30} & u_{40} & u_{50} \\ 0 & u_{11} & u_{21} & u_{20} & 0 & u_{30} \\ 0 & u_{12} & u_{22} & -u_{10} & u_{30} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{33} & -u_{20} & -2u_{10} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{44} & u_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{21} & u_{55} \end{pmatrix},$$

with the dependence relations

$$\begin{aligned} u_{33} &= -u_{00} + u_{11} + u_{22}, \\ u_{44} &= -2u_{00} + u_{11} + 2u_{22}, \\ u_{55} &= -2u_{00} + 2u_{11} + u_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular the dimension of the stabilizer is 10, and the orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ of π_p has dimension $36 - 10 = 26$.

Clearly, these four examples of planes of constant rank four belong to distinct PGL_6 -orbits.

5. THE MAIN RESULT

Theorem 4. *A plane π of matrices of constant rank equal to four, is PGL_6 -equivalent either to π_g , π_t , π_p or π_5 .*

Corollary 5. *The space of planes of matrices of constant rank four has four connected components, each of which is a PGL_6 -orbit of dimension 26.*

Proof. The plan of the proof is the following. If the plane π is contained in the span of a $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$, then we already know by Proposition 2 that it is equivalent to π_5 . Otherwise it must contain general lines. If we can find three general lines in general position, then π is equivalent to π_g . Otherwise, all the pivots of the general lines must pass through a fixed point. If the pivots are not constant, π is equivalent to π_p . If the pivot is constant, π is equivalent to π_t .

First step. We prove our first claim:

Lemma 6. *A plane π containing only special lines must be contained in the span of a $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$.*

Proof. Each special line generates a hyperplane of $\mathbb{C}^6$ and we must prove that this hyperplane is constant. Suppose this is not the case, and choose two lines ℓ and ℓ' in π , generating distinct hyperplanes H and H' . Let $L = H \cap H'$. We consider the line in π joining a point $\phi \in \ell - \ell'$ to a point $\phi' \in \ell' - \ell$. Their images must meet along a three dimension space

$M_{\phi, \phi'} \subset L$. But the image of a generic $\phi \in \ell$ meets L only in dimension two, a contradiction. $\square$

Second step. Now we can suppose that π contains a general line, so that in fact the generic line in π is general. We choose three general lines ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'' , and denote their pivots by d, d', d'' .

Lemma 7. *Let $\phi'' = \ell \cap \ell'$. Suppose that d and d' do not meet in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Then we can find basis e_0, e_1 of d and e_2, e_3 of d' such that $\phi'' = e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3$.*

Proof. Since ϕ'' belongs to the line ℓ whose pivot is d , we have that $\phi \in d \wedge V$ (where $V = \mathbb{C}^6$). Similarly $\phi \in d' \wedge V$, and since d and d' do not meet, $(d \wedge V) \cap (d' \wedge V) = d \wedge d'$. Hence the claim. $\square$

Suppose that the pivots d, d', d'' are lines in general position in $\mathbb{P}^5$. By Lemma 7, we can find basis e_0, e_1 of d , e_2, e_3 of d' and e_4, e_5 of d'' such that the intersection points $\phi'' = \ell \cap \ell'$, $\phi' = \ell \cap \ell''$ and $\phi = \ell' \cap \ell''$ can be written

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'' &= e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3, \\ \phi' &= e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5, \\ \phi &= e_2 \wedge (xe_4 + ye_5) + e_3 \wedge (te_4 + ze_5).\end{aligned}$$

A computation shows that this plane is contained in the Pfaffian hypersurface, if and only if $t = y$. But we still have some freedom on the choice of our basis. If we change the basis e_0, e_1 by some matrix $P^{-1} \in GL_2$, then ϕ'' and ϕ' will be preserved if we also change e_2, e_3 and e_4, e_5 by the transpose matrix P^t . We then get $\phi = e_2 \wedge (x'e_4 + y'e_5) + e_3 \wedge (y'e_4 + z'e_5)$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' & y' \\ y' & z' \end{pmatrix} = P^t \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} P.$$

We can therefore choose P in order to obtain the matrix of the hyperbolic quadratic form, and we easily conclude that π is equivalent to π_g .

Third step. Now we suppose that we cannot find three lines in π whose pivots are in general position in $\mathbb{P}^5$. The following lemma is certainly well known.

Lemma 8. *Consider a family of lines in $\mathbb{P}^5$, such that no three of them are in general position. Then either they are contained in a fixed hyperplane, or they all meet a fixed line.*

Proof. If two general lines in the family meet, they are all contained in the same plane or they all pass through the same point. Otherwise, choose a generic line ℓ in the family, and consider the projection from that line to some $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then any two projected lines meet, so again either they are all contained in a fixed plane, and the original lines are all contained in a fixed hyperplane, or they all pass through some fixed point, and the original lines all touch the same plane $P = P_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, containing ℓ .

Now consider another general line ℓ' and the associated plane P' . Note that $\ell \cap \ell' = \emptyset$, but since $P \cap \ell'$ and $P' \cap \ell$ are non empty subsets of $P \cap P'$, these two planes must meet along a line.

Finally, any general line will meet both P and P' . If that's at two distinct points, this general line will be contained inside $P + P'$, hence in a hyperplane. Otherwise, it meets the fixed line $P \cap P'$. $\square$

We apply Lemma 8 to the pivots of the lines in π . We have two cases, and in both cases we will conclude that the pivots must pass through a fixed point.

Indeed, two general pivots cannot be in general position, for otherwise we could choose three generic lines ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'' in π whose pivots do not meet pairwise. Then by Lemma 7, the intersection points of the lines ℓ, ℓ', ℓ'' belong to $\mathbb{P} \wedge^2 H$, where H denotes the linear span of the three pivots. Then $\mathbb{P} \wedge^2 H$ would contain π , a contradiction.

So the pivots of any two lines in π must meet. This implies either that they are all contained in the same plane, a possibility that we have already excluded, or that they all pass through a same point.

Fourth step. The next two lemmas will conclude the proof of the Theorem.

Lemma 9. *If the pivots of the lines in π all pass through a given point but are not constant, then π is equivalent to π_p .*

Proof. Suppose that the pivot is not constant. Choose a general line with pivot $\overline{e_0 e_1}$, joining the points $\varnothing = e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3$ to $\varnothing' = e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5$, for a suitable basis of $\mathbb{C}^6$. Choose generic lines through $\varnothing$ and $\varnothing'$, respectively, with pivots $\overline{e_0 f}$ and $\overline{e_0 f'}$. Let $\varnothing''$ be their intersection point.

We may suppose that f and f' belong to the span of $e_1, \dots, e_5$. Since $\varnothing$ belongs to $e_0 \wedge V + f \wedge V$, we conclude that $e_1 \wedge e_3$ belongs to $f \wedge V$, so that f is a combination of e_1 and e_3 . Since it is not equal to e_1 , we may suppose after a change of basis, that it is equal to e_3 . Similarly we may suppose that $f' = e_5$. Then $\varnothing''$ belongs to the intersection of $e_0 \wedge V + e_3 \wedge V$ and $e_0 \wedge V + e_5 \wedge V$, which is $e_0 \wedge V + \mathbb{C}e_3 \wedge e_5$. So we may write $\varnothing'' = e_0 \wedge h + e_3 \wedge e_5$ for some vector h in the span of $e_1, \dots, e_5$. After a change of basis, we can thus suppose that π is generated by $e_0 \wedge e_2 + \phi, e_0 \wedge e_4 + \phi', e_0 \wedge e_1 + \phi''$, where ϕ, ϕ', ϕ'' belong to $\wedge^2 \langle e_1, e_3, e_5 \rangle$. Any skew-symmetric form in three dimensions is decomposable, so we may suppose that $\phi'' = e_3 \wedge e_5$. Then, after subtracting a suitable multiple of ϕ'' to ϕ and ϕ' , we may suppose that $\phi = e_1 \wedge k$ and $\phi' = e_1 \wedge k'$ for some vectors k, k' in the span of e_3, e_5 . These vectors must be independent, otherwise a combination of ϕ and ϕ' would drop rank. In particular $e_3 \wedge e_5$ and $k \wedge k'$ are proportional, so after a new change of basis we may suppose that π is generated by $e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3, e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5, e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_3 \wedge e_5$. In other words, π is equivalent to π_p . $\square$

Lemma 10. *If the lines in π all have the same pivot, then π is equivalent to π_t .*

Proof. π is generated by $\varnothing = e_0 \wedge f_2 + e_1 \wedge g_2, \varnothing' = e_0 \wedge f_3 + e_1 \wedge g_3, \varnothing'' = e_0 \wedge f_4 + e_1 \wedge g_4$, where f_2, f_3, f_4 and g_2, g_3, g_4 must be independent. Modulo e_0 and e_1 , they generate two spaces of dimension three which must meet in dimension two. We choose a basis e_3, e_4 of the intersection and complete into basis of the two spaces by e_2 and e_5 , respectively. Then π is generated by $x e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge e_3, y e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_0 \wedge e_3 + e_1 \wedge e_4$ and $z e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_0 \wedge e_4 + e_1 \wedge e_5$ for some scalars x, y, z . But we can let $x, y, z = 0$ by adding a multiple of e_0 to e_3, e_4 and e_5 . We conclude that π is equivalent to π_t . $\square$

Corollary 11. *There exists no $\mathbb{P}^3$ of skew-symmetric matrices of order six and constant rank four.*

Proof. If there exists such a $\mathbb{P}^3$, its image via the Gauss map has to be a 2-uple embedding of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. The only such embedding represents the line contained in a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^4$. So the planes in our $\mathbb{P}^3$ must be all of type π_p , and we may suppose that it contains the plane generated by $\emptyset = e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_3 \wedge e_5$, $\emptyset' = e_0 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\emptyset'' = e_0 \wedge e_3 + e_4 \wedge e_5$. Let Ω be another point in our $\mathbb{P}^3$. Subtracting if necessary a combination of $\emptyset, \emptyset', \emptyset''$, we may suppose that

$$\Omega = \Omega_{01}e_0 \wedge e_1 + \Omega_{02}e_0 \wedge e_2 + \Omega_{12}e_1 \wedge e_2 + \sum_{i \leq 2 < j} \Omega_{ij}e_i \wedge e_j.$$

Now we need that $\Omega + x\emptyset + x'\emptyset' + x''\emptyset''$ has rank at most four for all x, x', x'' , hence in particular $\Omega \wedge \emptyset \wedge \emptyset = \Omega \wedge \emptyset' \wedge \emptyset' = \Omega \wedge \emptyset'' \wedge \emptyset'' = 0$, and $\Omega \wedge \emptyset \wedge \emptyset' = \Omega \wedge \emptyset \wedge \emptyset'' = \Omega \wedge \emptyset' \wedge \emptyset'' = 0$, and $\Omega \wedge \Omega \wedge \emptyset'' = 0$. The first three conditions give $\Omega_{15} = \Omega_{24} = \Omega_{12} = 0$, the following three $\Omega_{14} + \Omega_{25} = \Omega_{13} = \Omega_{23} = 0$. The last condition is $\Omega_{14}\Omega_{25} + \Omega_{01}\Omega_{23} - \Omega_{02}\Omega_{13} = 0$, and we deduce that $\Omega_{14} = \Omega_{25} = 0$. Finally, $\Omega = \Omega_{01}e_0 \wedge e_1 + \Omega_{02}e_0 \wedge e_2$ has rank two, a contradiction. $\square$

6. THE ASSOCIATED VECTOR BUNDLES

A space $\mathbb{P}^m$ of matrices of order n of constant rank r defines a morphism

$$V^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m} \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m},$$

where $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. The kernel K and the image E of this morphism are vector bundles of rank $n - r$ and r , respectively. For a space of skew-symmetric matrices, $r = 2s$ and $E \simeq E^*(1)$, which implies that the splitting type of E is $E|_\ell = \mathcal{O}_\ell^{\oplus s} \oplus \mathcal{O}_\ell(1)^{\oplus s}$, where ℓ is any line. In particular, E is uniform.

We identify the vector bundles E and K , whose rank are 4 and 2 respectively, for each of our families of planes of skew-symmetric matrices of order 6 and constant rank 4.

Type π_g . We can find a decomposition $V = A \oplus B$ and an isomorphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ such that π_g is the space of 2-forms of type $x \wedge u(y) - y \wedge u(x)$, $x, y \in A$. The image of this morphism is the direct sum of the planes $\langle x, y \rangle \subset A$ and $\langle u(x), u(y) \rangle \subset B$, hence

$$E = Q \oplus Q, \quad K = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1),$$

where Q denotes the rank two tautological quotient bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Type π_t . Our plane is the space of morphisms of the form $e_0 \wedge x + e_1 \wedge u(x)$, where we decompose a supplement to $\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ into the sum of two hyperplanes A and B , and $u : A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism. The image of this morphism is the sum of the planes $\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ and $\langle x, u(x) \rangle$, hence

$$E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{\oplus 2},$$

while K is neither split nor a twist of the quotient bundle, since $c_1(K) = -2$ and $c_2(K) = 3$.

Type π_p . Here the image of a morphism in our plane is the direct sum of $\langle e_0 \rangle$, of a line in $\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ and a plane in $\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$. We deduce that

$$E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus Q,$$

and again K is neither split nor a twist of the quotient bundle, since $c_1(K) = -2$ and $c_2(K) = 2$.

Type π_5 . Since we are in a five dimensional space, we get that the kernel $K = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)$ splits, while E fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 5} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $c_1(E) = 2$ and $c_2(E) = 4$, the bundle E cannot decompose into a direct sum of twists of the trivial and quotient bundles.

REFERENCES

- [AF96] S. I. Agafonov and E. V. Ferapontov, *Systems of conservation laws from the point of view of the projective theory of congruences*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **60** (1996), no. 6, 3–30.
- [AF01] ———, *Systems of conservation laws of Temple class, equations of associativity and linear congruences in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Manuscripta Math. **106** (2001), no. 4, 461–488.
- [C91] G. Castelnuovo, *Ricerche di geometria della retta nello spazio a quattro dimensioni*, Atti R. Ist. Veneto Sc., ser.VII, **2** (1891), 855–901.
- [Kum66] E.E. Kummer, *Über die algebraischen Strahlensysteme, insbesondere über die der ersten und zweiten Ordnung*, Abh. K. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1866), 1–120.
- [FM02] M.L. Fania, E. Mezzetti, *On the Hilbert scheme of Palatini threefolds*, Adv. in Geometry **2** (2002), 371–389.
- [IL99] B. Ilic, J.M. Landsberg, *On symmetric degeneracy loci, spaces of symmetric matrices of constant rank and dual varieties*, Math. Ann. **314** (1999), 159–174.
- [Mat60] Y. Matsushima, *Espaces homogènes de Stein des groupes de Lie complexes*, Nagoya Math. J. **16** (1960), 205–218.
- [Ran86] Z. Ran, *Surfaces of order 1 in Grassmannians*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **368** (1986), 119–126.
- [SU04] J.C. Sierra, L. Ugaglia, *On double Veronese embeddings in the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, N)$* , preprint (2004).

LAURENT MANIVEL,
 Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 (UJF-CNRS),
 BP 74, 38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex, France.
 E-mail : Laurent.Manivel@ujf-grenoble.fr

EMILIA MEZZETTI,
 Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica,
 Università di Trieste,
 Via Valerio 12/1, 34127 Trieste, Italy.
 E-mail : mezzette@univ.trieste.it